## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

February 9, 1998 LB 395

believe revealing was a part of that. They said that the bill didn't need to go forward in that session, that they just wanted it there so we could study it over the interim. Over that first summer, we did have an interim study not as a study but on the bill itself. bill itself. At that time, it was primarily industry representatives who met. I believe that a representative of the Audubon Society attended one meeting, but I'm not aware of any other outside representatives outside of the business community In 1996, the bill was carried over and the who attended. details were still not fully complete. And at the end of the bill died. In 1997, there were three bills that were introduced. One was by Senator Kristensen, one was by Senator Beutler which were essentially the same bill other than a couple of word changes, and there was a third bill, that was a bill that I introduced. That was...the bills were all heard in committee. There were discussions about the bills and following that and following the discussions, I tried to get both sides of the issue together to do some further negotiations because I continued to have some problems with the bills. So continued dialogue went on and as a result of that in the '97 session and the committee amendment at that time near the end of the session, after the designation for priority bills had passed or was just about to pass, the committee advanced the committee amendment that I'm now discussing. That committee amendment was advanced and is now before us. It's a product primarily of the original group and the industry who brought it forward with some changes, but is very similar to the bill that Senator Kristensen originally introduced. In Section 1, it gives some of the information about the interest in having compliance issues brought forward and a way of encouraging that compliance. sets out some definitions in Section 2 and goes through what an environmental audit is according to the categories here. talks about environmental requirement, the in-camera review that Senator Kristensen talked about. It gives a definition for persons, and it's interchangeably used here terminologies, environmental audit and again voluntary self-evaluation. So we have two terms but for most purposes, probably for all purposes, they are essentially one and the same. And then we go on to Section 3 and that's the section that deals with confidentiality and privilege. It would make any of the information nondiscoverable. It would create a section of privilege that would be new section of privileged